

CITATIONS: 2010 →

Lozano G.A. 2010. A new criterion for allocating research funds: “impact per dollar”. Current Science 99: 1187-1188.

Lozano, G.A. 2015. SAD effects on grantsmanship. BioEssays 37(1): 10-11.

Mendis, K., Bailey, J., and McLean, R. 2015. Tracking Australian health and medical research expenditure with a PubMed bibliometric method. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health 39(3): 227-231.

Lozano, G.A. 2014. Ethics of using language editing services in an era of digital communication and heavily multi-authored papers. Science and Engineering Ethics 20(2): 363-367.

(5) Lozano, G.A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science 105(4): 443-445.

Rosseau, R., and Ye, F.Y. 2013. A multi-metric approach for research evaluations. Chinese Science Bulletin 58(26): 3288-3290.

Lozano, G.A., Larivière, V., and Gingras, Y. 2012. The weakening relationship between the impact factor and papers' citations in the digital age. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 63(11): 2140-2145.

Tan, A.M., Zhao, S.X. & Ye, F.Y. 2012. Funds promote scientific output. Current Science 102: 542-543.

Zhao S.X. & Ye F.Y. 2011. h-efficiency: measuring input-output performance of research funds. Current Science 101: 21-22.

Lozano, G. A. 2010. Evolutionary explanations in medicine: how do they differ and how to benefit from them. Medical Hypotheses 74(4): 746-749

Cournoyea, M. 2013. Ancestral assumptions and the clinical uncertainty of evolutionary medicine. Perspectives in Biology and Medicine 56(1): 36-52.

Kirchengast, S., and Rühli, F. 2013. Evolutionary medicine and its implications for endocrinological issues (e.g. menopause). General and Comparative Endocrinology 186: 145-149.

Cournoyea, M. 2012. Ancestral Assumptions: An Epistemic and Ethical Critique of Evolutionary Medicine In: Chum, A. and Forman, L. (Eds.). Munk School Briefings - Comparative Program on Health and Society Lupina Foundation Working Paper Series, 2010-2011. Toronto: University of Toronto Press. p 13.

Rao, S., Yuan, M., Zuo, X., Su, W., Zhang, F., Huang, K., Lin, M. & Ding, Y. 2011. A novel evolution-based method for detecting gene-gene interactions. PLoS One 6: e26435

Lozano, G.A., Larivière, V., and Gingras, Y. 2012. The weakening relationship between the Impact Factor and papers' citations in the digital age. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 63(11): 2140-2145.

Baccini, A. 2016. Napoleon and the Bibliometric Evaluation of Research: Considerations on University Reform and the Action of the National Evaluation Agency in Italy. *Canadian Journal of Information and Library Science*, **40**: 37-57.

Biljecki, F. 2016. A scientometric analysis of selected GIScience journals. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, **XX**: 1-34.

(90) De Marchi, M. and Lorenzetti, E. 2016. Measuring the impact of scholarly journals in the humanities field. *Scientometrics*, **XX**: xxx-xxx.

Garcia-Larrea, L. 2016. Twenty years after: Interesting times for scientific editors. *European Journal of Pain*, **20**: 3-4.

Guntzburger, Y., Pauchant, T.C. and Tanguy, P.A. 2016. Ethical Risk Management Education in Engineering: A Systematic Review. *Sci. Eng. Ethics*, **XX**: 1-28.

Hahn, K.A. and Galea, S. 2016. The role of professional journals and societies in the future of a field: a reflection on the partnership between the American Journal of Epidemiology and the Society for Epidemiologic Research. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **XX**: xxx-xxx.

Koltay, T., Spiranec, S. and Karvalics, L.K. 2016. *Research 2.0 and the Future of Information Literacy*: Elsevier.

Lewis, D.W. 2016. *Reimagining the Academic Library*: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Liu, X.-L., Gai, S.-S. and Zhou, J. 2016. Journal Impact Factor: Do the Numerator and Denominator Need Correction? *PLoS One*, **11**: e0151414.

Marcella, R., Lockerbie, H. and Bloice, L. 2016. Beyond REF 2014: The impact of impact assessment on the future of information research. *Journal of Information Science*, **XX**.

Martin, B.R. 2016. Editors' JIF-boosting stratagems – Which are appropriate and which not? *Research. Policy* **45**(1): 1-7.

Shanahan, D.R. 2016. Auto-correlation of journal impact factor for consensus research reporting statements: a cohort study. *PeerJ*, **4**: e1887.

(80) Tracz, V. and Lawrence, R. 2016. Towards an open science publishing platform. *F1000Research*, **5**.

Walters, W.H. 2016. Information sources and indicators for the assessment of journal reputation and impact. *The Reference Librarian*, **57**: 13-22.

Wing, L. and Massoud, T.F. 2016. Trends in performance indicators of neuroimaging anatomy research publications: A bibliometric study of major neuroradiology journal output over four decades based on web of science database. *Clin. Anat.*, **28**: 16-26.

Bailey, J.K., Mendis, K., Dutton, T., Stevens, W. and McCrossin, T. 2015. A decade of Rural Clinical School research: a PubMed review. *Rural and Remote Health* **15**: 3353.

Borgman, C.L. 2015. *Big Data, Little Data, No Data: Scholarship in the Networked World*. MIT Press.

Bornmann, L. 2015. Interrater reliability and convergent validity of F1000Prime peer review. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **XX**: xxx-xxx.

- Cantor, M., and Gero, S. 2015. The missing metric: quantifying contributions of reviewers. *Royal Society Open Science* **2**: 140540.
- Casadevall, A. and Fang, F.C. 2015. Impacted Science: Impact Is Not Importance. *mBio*, **6**: xxx-xxx.
- De Marchi, M. and Lorenzetti, E. 2015. Measuring the impact of scholarly journals in the humanities field. *Scientometrics*, **106**: 253-261.
- Dolara, A. 2015. Evaluating the merit of research in clinical cardiology: From citation to declaration. *Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine*, **16**: 525-526.
- (70) Ezinwa Nwagwu, W. and Ojemeni, O. 2015. Penetration of nigerian predatory biomedical open access journals 2007-2012: A bibliometric study. *Learned Publishing*, **28**: 23-34.
- Fitzgerald, R.T., and Radmanesh, A. 2015. Social Media and Research Visibility. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* **36**: 1814-1815.
- Freeman, R. B., and W. Huang. 2015. Collaborating with people like me: ethnic coauthorship within the United States. *Journal of Labor Economics* 33:S289 - S318.
- Ghazi Mirsaeid, S.J., Motamedi, N. and Ramezan Ghorbani, N. 2015. Correlation between Self-Citation and Impact Factor in Iranian English Medical Journals in WoS and ISC: A Comparative Approach. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, **44**: 1234-1243.
- Gureev, V.N., and Mazov, N.A. 2015. Assessment of the relevance of journals in research libraries using bibliometrics (a review). *Scientific and Technical Information Processing* **42**(1): 30-40.
- Guthrie, J., Parker, L.D., and Dumay, J. 2015. Academic performance, publishing and peer review: Peering into the twilight zone. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal* **28**(1): 2-13.
- Haustein, S., and Lariviere, V. 2015. The use of bibliometrics for assessing research: possibilities, limitations and adverse effects. In *Incentives and Performance: Governance of Research Organizations*. Edited by I. M. Welpe, J. Wollersheim, S. Ringelhan, and Osterloh. M. Springer International Publishing. pp. 121-139.
- Havemann, F. and Larsen, B. 2015. Bibliometric indicators of young authors in astrophysics: Can later stars be predicted? *Scientometrics*, **102**: 1413-1434.
- Huang, W. 2015. Do ABCs get more citations than XYZs? *Economic Inquiry* **53**(1): 773-789.
- Jeppe, N. and Fraandsen, T.F. 2015. The focus factor: a dynamic measure of journal specialisation. *Information Research* **20**: 85-103.
- (60) Kangas, A., and T. Hujala. 2015. Challenges in publishing: producing, assuring and communicating quality. *Silva Fennica* 49:article id 1304.
- Lopez Pineiro, C and Hicks, D. 2015. Reception of Spanish sociology by domestic and foreign audiences differs and has consequences for evaluation. *Res. Eval.*, **24**: 78-89.
- Mendis, K., Bailey, J., and McLean, R. 2015. Tracking Australian health and medical research expenditure with a PubMed bibliometric method. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* **39**: 227-231.
- Nicholas, D., Watkinson, A., Jamali, H.R., Herman, E., Tenopir, C., Volentine, R., Allard, S., and Levine, K. 2015. Peer review: still king in the digital age. *Learned Publishing* **28**(1): 15-21.
- Nicolaisen, J. and Frandsen, T.F. 2015. The Focus Factor: A Dynamic Measure of Journal Specialisation. *Information Research: An International Electronic Journal*, **20**.
- Nwagwu, W. E., and B. Onyancha. 2015. Back to the beginning - The journal is dead, long live science. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship* XX:xxx-xxx.

- Paci, M., Landi, N., Briganti, G. and Lombardi, B. 2015. Factors associated with citation rate of randomised controlled trials in physiotherapy. *Archives of Physiotherapy* **5**(1):9.
- Royle, P. and Waugh, N. 2015. Macular disease research in the United Kingdom 2011 - 2014: a bibliometric analysis of outputs, performance and coverage. *BMC Research Notes*, **8**: 833.
- Sanz-Casado, E., Garcia -Zorita, C. and Rosseau, R. The Most Cited Articles of the 21st Century. In: Salah, A. A., Tonta, Y., Akdag Salah, A. A., Sugimoto, C. and Al, U., editors; 2015 29 June to 3 July, 2015; Istanbul, Turkey,. Bogaziçi University Printhouse.
- Stewart, B. 2015. Open to influence: what counts as academic influence in scholarly networked Twitter participation. *Learning, Media and Technology* **40**(3):1-23.
- (50)** Thelwall, M., and Fairclough, R. 2015. Geometric journal impact factors correcting for individual highly cited articles. *Journal of Informetrics* **9**(2): 263-272.
- Tourish, D. 2015. Some announcements, reaffirming the critical ethos of Leadership, and what we look for in submissions. *Leadership*, **11**: 135-141.
- Triggle, C. R. 2015. The answer is not 42. *Biochemical Pharmacology* **98**(2):327-334..
- Vrettas, G. and Sanderson, M. 2015. Conferences versus journals in computer science. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, **XX**: xxx-xxx.
- Zhao, Y.W., Chi, C.-H., and van den Heuvel, W.-J. 2015. Imperfect referees: Reducing the impact of multiple biases in peer review. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **X** 66(11):2340-2356.
- Aebi, M., and Szpalski, M. 2014. The growth and increase of the number of journals focusing on Spine: a scientific blessing? *European Spine Journal* **23**(3): 491-492.
- Bartoli, A. and E. Medvet (2014). "Bibliometric evaluation of researchers in the internet age." *The Information Society: An International Journal* **30**(5): 349-354.
- Berger, J.M., and Baker, C.M. 2014. Bibliometrics: an overview. *Journal of Pharmacy Science* **4**(3): 81-92.
- Black, K.J. 2014. F1000Research: Tics welcomes you to 21st century biomedical publishing. *F1000Research* **3**.
- Casadevall, A., and Fang, F.C. 2014. Causes for the persistence of Impact Factor mania. *mBio* **5**(2):e00064-00014.
- (40)** Dowling, G.R. 2014. Playing the citations game: From publish or perish to be cited or sidelined. *Australasian Marketing Journal* **22**(4): 280-287
- Grossetti, M., Eckert, D., Gingras, Y., Jégou, L., Larivière, V., and Milard, B. 2014. Cities and the geographical deconcentration of scientific activity: A multilevel analysis of publications (1987 - 2007). *Urban Studies* **51**(10): 2219-2234.
- Hagen, N.T. 2014. Reversing the byline hierarchy: The effect of equalizing bias on the accreditation of primary, secondary and senior authors. *Journal of Informetrics* **8**(3): 618-627.
- Heneberg, P. 2014. Parallel worlds of citable documents and others: Inflated commissioned opinion articles enhance scientometric indicators. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **65**:635-643..
- Knudson, D. 2014. What is a kinesiology journal? *Comprehensive Psychology* **3**(1): Article 20.
- Kraus, J. 2014. Cash, carrots, and sticks: Open Access incentives for researchers. *F1000Research* **3**: 263 (doi:).

- Larivière, V., Lozano, G.A., and Gingras, Y. 2014. Are elite journals declining? Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology **65**(4): 649-655.
- Manganote, E.J.T., Araujo, M.S., and Schulz, P.A. 2014. Visualization of ranking data: Geographical signatures in international collaboration, leadership and research impact. Journal of Informetrics **8**(3): 642-649.
- Rao, I.K.R. 2014. Weak relations among the impact factors, number of citations, references and authors. Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management **8**(1): 17-30.
- Smith, M. J., C. Weinberger, et al. (2014). "The scientific impact of nations: journal placement and citation performance." PLoS One **9**(10): e109195.
- (30) Stern, D.I. 2014. High-Ranked social science journal articles can be identified from early citation information. PLoS One **9**(11): e112520.
- Wing, L., and Massoud, T.F. 2014. Trends in performance indicators of neuroimaging anatomy research publications: A bibliometric study of major neuroradiology journal output over four decades based on web of science database. Clinical Anatomy **28**(1): 16-26.
- Banfi, A. and G. De Nicolao (2013). "Evaluate without knowing. How to save the evaluation of research in Italy for those who want to use it without knowing." Aut Aut **360**: 43-68.
- Bawden, D. 2013. The declining impact of the impact factor. Journal of Documentation **69**(2).
- Bernal, I. 2013. Open access and the changing landscape of research impact indicators: new roles for repositories. Publications **1**(2): 56-77.
- Beyersdorf, F. 2013. Impact Factor of the European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery increased to 2.674. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery **44**(4): 589-590.
- Bongiovani, P.C., Miguel, S., and Gómez, N.D. 2013. Acceso abierto, impacto científico y la producción científica en dos universidades argentinas en el campo de la medicina. Revista Cubana de Informacion en Ciencias de la Salud **24**(2): article no. 3.
- Bornmann, L., and Williams, R. 2013. How to calculate the practical significance of citation impact differences? An empirical example from evaluative institutional bibliometrics using adjusted predictions and marginal effects. Journal of Infometrics **7**(2): 562-574.
- Bradley, C. 2013. Information literacy articles in science pedagogy journals. Evidence Based Library and Information Practice **8**(4): 78-92.
- Brembs, B. 2013. What ranking journals has in common with astrology. Roars Transactions, a Journal on Research Policy and Evaluation **1**(1).
- (20) Brembs, B., Button, K., and Munafò, M. 2013. Deep impact: unintended consequences of journal rank. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience **7**: 291.
- Chen, L., and Holsapple, C.W. 2013. Evaluating journal quality: beyond "expert" journal assessments in the IS discipline. Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce **23**(4): 392-412.
- Cronin, B. 2013. From signtometrics to scientometrics: a cautionary tale of our times. Journal of Information Science Theory and Practice **1**(4): 6-11.
- Dalton, M. 2013. A dissemination divide? The factors that influence the journal selection decision of Library & Information Studies (LIS) researchers and practitioners. Library and Information Research **37**: art no. 115.
- Ferrero, F. 2013. Does size matter? considerations on the use of the impact factor. Archivos Argentinos de Pediatría **111**(1): 5-8.

- Hausmann, N.S., McIntyre, T., Bumby, A.J., and Loubser, M.J. 2013. Referencing practices in physical geography: How well do we cite what we write? Progress in Physical Geography **37** (4): 543-549.
- Leydesdorff, L., Radicchi, F., Bornmann, L., Castellano, C., and de Nooy, W. 2013. Field-normalized Impact Factors: A comparison of rescaling versus fractionally counted IFs. Journal of the American Society for Information Science **64**(11): 2299-2309.
- Lozano, G.A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science **105**(4): 443-445.
- Marušić, A., and Marušić, M. 2013. Promotion of journals, especially small scholarly journals. *In* EASE Science Editor's Handbook. *Edited by* P. Smart, H. Maisonneuve, and A. Polderman. European Association of Science Editors. pp. 1-4.
- Odell, J., and Whipple, E.C. 2013. The changing landscape of scholarly publishing: will radiation research survive? Radiation Research **180**(4): 335-339.
- (10) Oermann, M.H., and Shaw-Kokot, J. 2013. Impact Factors of nursing journals: what nurses need to know. Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing **44**(7): 293-299.
- Protić, A., Runje, B., and Stepanić, J. 2013. Distribution of citations in one volume of a journal. Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems **11**(2): 227-237.
- Reich, E.S. 2013. Science publishing: the golden club. Nature **502**(7471): 291-293.
- Reips, U.-D., and Matzar, U. 2013. Article impact means journal impact. International Journal of Internet Science **8**(1): 1-9.
- Stern, D.I. 2013. Uncertainty measures for economics journal impact factors. Journal of Economic Literature **51**(1): 173-189.
- Sugimoto, C. R., V. Larivière, et al. (2013). Supply and demand in scholarly publishing: An analysis of factors associated with journal acceptance rates (rip) 14th International Society of Scientometrics and Informetrics Conference, Vienna, Austria.
- Sugimoto, C.R., Larivière, V., Ni, C., and Cronin, B. 2013. Journal acceptance rates: A cross-disciplinary analysis of variability and relationships with journal measures. Journal of Infometrics **7**(4): 897-906.
- Thelwall, M., Haustein, S., Larivière, V., and Sugimoto, C.R. 2013. Do altmetrics work? Twitter and ten other social web services. PLoS One **8**(5): e64841.
- Valencia, A. 2013. La mitología del artículo científico. Ingeniería y Sociedad **6**: 20-42.
- López-Cózar, E.D., and Robinson-García, N. 2012. Repositories in Google Scholar Metrics or what is this document type doing in a place as such? Cybermetrics **16**(1): Paper 4.
- Lozano, G.A., Lank, D.B., and Addison, B. 2013. Immune and oxidative stress trade-offs in four classes of ruffs (*Philomachus pugnax*) with different alternative reproductive strategies. Canadian Journal of Zoology **91**(4): 212-218.**
- Costantini, D. 2014. The role of oxidative stress and moremesis in shaping reproductive strategies. *In* Oxidative Stress and Hormesis in Evolutionary Ecology and Physiology: a marriage between mechanistic and evolutionary approaches. *Edited by* D. Costantini. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 205-239.

Lozano, G. A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science 105(4): 443-445.

Dehdarirad, T., Villarroya, A. and Barrios, M. 2015. Research on women in science and higher education: a bibliometric analysis. Scientometrics 103(3):795-812.

Lozano, G.A. 2014. Ethics of using language editing services in an era of digital communication and heavily multi-authored papers. Science and Engineering Ethics 20(2): 363-367.

Glew, R.H., Challa, A.K., and Gopalan, V. 2014. Training in scientific manuscript writing. Current Science 107(9): 1386-1392.

Petersen, A.M., Pavlidis, I., and Semendeferi, I. 2014. A quantitative perspective on ethics in large team science. Science and Engineering Ethics 20(4): 923-945.

Lozano, G.A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science 105(4): 443-445.

Larivière, V., Lozano, G.A., and Gingras, Y. 2014. Are elite journals declining? Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 65(4): 649-655.

Casadevall, A. and Fang, F.C. 2015. Impacted Science: Impact Is Not Importance. *mBio*, 6: xxx-xxx.

Chandrashekhar, Y. and Narula, J. 2015. Challenges for Research Publications What Is Journal Quality and How to Measure It? Journal of the American College of Cardiology 65(16):1702-1705.

Guthrie, J., Parker, L.D., and Dumay, J. 2015. Academic performance, publishing and peer review: Peering into the twilight zone. Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal 28(1): 2-13.

(10) Holmberg, K. 2015. *Altmetrics for information professionals: past, present and future*: Chandos Publishing, Eslevier.

Lopez Pineiro, C, and Hicks, D. 2014. Reception of Spanish sociology by domestic and foreign audiences differs and has consequences for evaluation. Research Evaluation 24(1): 78-89.

Sanz-Casado, E., Garcia -Zorita, C. and Rosseau, R. The Most Cited Articles of the 21st Century. In: Salah, A. A., Tonta, Y., Akdag Salah, A. A., Sugimoto, C. and Al, U., editors; 2015 29 June to 3 July, 2015; Istanbul, Turkey,. Bogaziçi University Printhouse.

Sinha, A., Shen, Z., Song, Y., Ma, H., Eide, D., Hsu, B.-J. and Wang, K. 2015. An Overview of Microsoft Academic Service (MAS) and Applications. Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web. Florence, Italy: International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.

Yan, S., Rousseau, R. and Huang, S. 2015. Contributions of chinese authors in PLOS ONE. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology **XX(oo):xxx-xxx**.

(5) Grossetti, M., Eckert, D., Gingras, Y., Jégou, L., Larivière, V., and Milard, B. 2014. Cities and the geographical deconcentration of scientific activity: A multilevel analysis of publications (1987 - 2007). Urban Studies **51(10): 2219-2234**.

Knudson, D. 2014. What is a kinesiology journal? Comprehensive Psychology **3(1): Article 20**.

Chen, L., and Holsapple, C.W. 2013. Evaluating journal quality: beyond "expert" journal assessments in the IS discipline. Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce **23(4): 392-412**.

Lozano, G.A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science **105(4): 443-445**.

Reich, E.S. 2013. Science publishing: the golden club. Nature **502(7471): 291-293**.

Lozano, G.A. 2014. Specificity in parasites with multiple hosts: the view from the hosts' perspective. Ideas in Ecology and Evolution **7(2): 25-26.**

Forbes, M.R., and Mlynarek, J.J. 2014. A hypothesis to explain host species differences in resistance to multi-host parasites. Ideas in Ecology and Evolution **7(1): 17-24**.

Lozano, G.A. 2015. SAD effects on grantsmanship. BioEssays **37(1): xxx-xxx.**

No citations yet!