

CITATIONS: 2010 -2019

Lozano G.A. 2010. A new criterion for allocating research funds: “impact per dollar”. Current Science 99: 1187-1188.

Lozano, G.A. 2015. SAD effects on grantsmanship. BioEssays 37(1): 10-11.

Mendis, K., Bailey, J., and McLean, R. 2015. Tracking Australian health and medical research expenditure with a PubMed bibliometric method. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health 39(3): 227-231.

Lozano, G.A. 2014. Ethics of using language editing services in an era of digital communication and heavily multi-authored papers. Science and Engineering Ethics 20(2): 363-367.

(5) Lozano, G.A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science 105(4): 443-445.

Rosseau, R., and Ye, F.Y. 2013. A multi-metric approach for research evaluations. Chinese Science Bulletin 58(26): 3288-3290.

Lozano, G.A., Larivière, V., and Gingras, Y. 2012. The weakening relationship between the impact factor and papers' citations in the digital age. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 63(11): 2140-2145.

Tan, A.M., Zhao, S.X. & Ye, F.Y. 2012. Funds promote scientific output. Current Science 102: 542-543.

Zhao S.X. & Ye F.Y. 2011. h-efficiency: measuring input-output performance of research funds. Current Science 101: 21-22.

Lozano, G. A. 2010. Evolutionary explanations in medicine: how do they differ and how to benefit from them. Medical Hypotheses 74(4): 746-749

Schradin, C., and R. H. Straub. 2020. The Role of the Immune System from an Evolutionary Perspective. Pages 198-209 in J. Schulkin and M. Power, editors. Integrating Evolutionary Biology into Medical Education: for maternal and child healthcare students, clinicians, and scientists. Oxford University Press.

Ahlstrom, B., Dinh, T., Haselton, M.G., and Tomiyama, A.J. 2017. Understanding eating interventions through an evolutionary lens. Health Psychology Review 11(1): 72-88.

Kirchengast, S. 2016. The insane woman-mental disorders and female life history-a Darwinian approach. Neuropsychiatry (London) 6(5): 286-297.

Kirchengast, S. 2014. Human obesity from the viewpoint of evolutionary medicine. In *Health Consequences of Human Central Obesity*. Edited by K. Bose, and R. Chakraborty. Nova Science Publishers. pp. 55-70.

Cournoyea, M. 2013. Ancestral assumptions and the clinical uncertainty of evolutionary medicine. Perspectives in Biology and Medicine 56(1): 36-52.

Kirchengast, S., and Rühli, F. 2013. Evolutionary medicine and its implications for endocrinological issues (e.g. menopause). General and Comparative Endocrinology 186: 145-149.

- Cournoyea, M. 2012. Ancestral Assumptions: An Epistemic and Ethical Critique of Evolutionary Medicine In: Chum, A. and Forman, L. (Eds.). Munk School Briefings - Comparative Program on Health and Society Lupina Foundation Working Paper Series, 2010-2011. Toronto: University of Toronto Press. p 13.
- Rao, S., Yuan, M., Zuo, X., Su, W., Zhang, F., Huang, K., Lin, M. & Ding, Y. 2011. A novel evolution-based method for detecting gene-gene interactions. *PLoS One* 6: e26435

Lozano, G.A., Larivière, V., and Gingras, Y. 2012. The weakening relationship between the Impact Factor and papers' citations in the digital age. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* 63(11): 2140-2145.

- (178) Lötsch, J. 2021. "Biomedinformatics": A new journal for the new decade to publish biomedical informatics research. *Biomedinformatics* 1:1-5.
- Mobarak S, Stott MC, Lee W-J, Davé MS, Tarazi M, Macutkiewicz C (2021) The importance of social media to the academic surgical literature: Relationship between Twitter activity and readership metrics. *Surgery*
- Valderrama Zurián JC, Cañigral FJB, Cogollos LC, Aleixandre-Benavent R (2021) The most 100 cited papers in addiction research on cannabis, heroin, cocaine and psychostimulants. A bibliometric cross-sectional analysis. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* XX:108616.
- Vîiu G-A, Păunescu M (2021) The citation impact of articles from which authors gained monetary rewards based on journal metrics. *Scientometrics* XX:xxx-xxx.
- Vîiu G-A, Păunescu M (2021) The lack of meaningful boundary differences between journal impact factor quartiles undermines their independent use in research evaluation. *Scientometrics*
- Zhang X, Xie Q, Song M (2021) Measuring the impact of novelty, bibliometric, and academic-network factors on citation count using a neural network. *J. Informetr.* 15:101140.
- Akers KG (2020) Biomedical Journals: Scientific Quality, Reputation, and Impact Factor. In: Shoja M, Arynchyna A, Loukas L, D'Antoni AV, Buerger SM, Karl M, Tubbs RS (eds) *A Guide to the Scientific Career*. Wiley, pp 369-375
- Bonaccorsi A (2020) Two decades of research assessment in Italy. addressing the criticisms. *Scholarly Assessment Reports* 2:1-17.
- Chambers CD, Tzavella L (2020) Registered Reports: Past, Present and Future. *MetaArXiv*
- Dal Mas F, Massaro M, Lombardi R, Biancuzzi H (2020) Output and outcome measures in the public sector performance. A structured literature review. *European journal of volunteering and community-based projects* 1:16-29.
- Dougherty LR (2020) Designing mate choice experiments. *Biological Reviews* 95:759-781.
- Drivas K, Kremmydas D (2020) The Matthew effect of a journal's ranking. *Research Policy* 49:103951.
- Ercan S, Ülkar B (2020) Impact of Online Publication on Turkish Journal of Sports Medicine. *Turk. J. Sports Med.* 55:1-5.
- Klus MF, Dilger A (2020) Success factors of academic journals in the digital age. *Business Research* 13:1115–1143.
- Luwel M, van Eck NJ, van Leeuwen T (2020) Characteristics of publication delays over the period 2000-2016. In: C. D, Glänzel W (eds) *Evaluative Informetrics: The Art of Metrics-Based Research Assessment*. Springer, pp 89-114

- McKelvey M, Rake B (2020) Exploring scientific publications by firms: what are the roles of academic and corporate partners for publications in high reputation or high impact journals? *Scientometrics*
- Noah AO, Pearce I, Modgil V (2020) Altmetrics and citations: the impact of journal publications. *The Bulletin of the Royal College of Surgeons of England* 102:7, 324-327.
- Piedra LM (2020) Assessing quality for qualitative researchers. *Qualitative Social Work* 19(2):169-174.
- Purzer S, Jones E (2020) The Inaugural Readership and Authorship Report of the Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER). *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)* 10 Article 8.
- Rodriguez RM, Chan V, Wong AH, Montoy JC (2020) A review of journal impact metrics and characteristics to assist emergency medicine investigators with manuscript submission decisions. *West. J. Emerg. Med.*: 21:xxx-xxx.
- Shu F, Quan W, Chen B, Qiu J, Sugimoto CR, Larivière V (2020) The role of Web of Science publications in China's tenure system. *Scientometrics*
- Wilder EI, Walters WH (2020) Characteristics of the most productive U.S. sociology faculty and departments: institution type, gender, and journal concentration. *The Sociological Quarterly*
- Yeung AWK (2020) Most common publication types of neuroimaging literature: papers with high levels of evidence are on the rise. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 14
- Berenbaum MR (2019) Impact factor impacts on early-career scientist careers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*:201911911.
- Bond M, Zawacki-Richter O, Nichols M (2019) Revisiting five decades of educational technology research: A content and authorship analysis of the British Journal of Educational Technology. *British Journal of Educational Technology* 50:12-63.
- Bornmann L (2019) Does the normalized citation impact of universities profit from certain properties of their published documents – such as the number of authors and the impact factor of the publishing journals? A multilevel modeling approach. *J. Informetr.* 13:170-184.
- Brown T, Ho Y-S, Gutman SA (2019) High Impact and Highly Cited Peer-Reviewed Journal Article Publications by Canadian Occupational Therapy Authors: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Occupational Therapy In Health Care*:1-26.
- Bullough Robert V (2019) Looking Back on 40 Years of Teaching Education: A Personal Essay. In: *Essays on Teaching Education and the Inner Drama of Teaching*, vol 32. Emerald Publishing Limited, pp 23-39
- Bullough RV, Jr (2019) *Essays on Teaching Education and the Inner Drama of Teaching: Where Troubles Meet Issues*, vol 32
- Dilger A, Klus M (2019) Success Factors of Academic Journals in the Digital Age. Discussion Paper of the Institute for Organisational Economics 6:Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3412799> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3412799>.
- Dujon AM (2019) Citation patterns of publications using unmanned aerial vehicles in ecology and conservation. *Journal of Unmanned Vehicle Systems*:1-10.
- Ferrand É, Larivière V, Lebel D, Bussièrès JF (2019) Indicateurs de notoriété des revues scientifiques, des chercheurs et des articles publiés en santé : perspective pharmaceutique. *Annales Pharmaceutiques Françaises* 77:1-14.
- Kim L, Portenoy JH, West JD, Stovel KW (2019) Scientific journals still matter in the era of academic search engines and preprint archives. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 71:1218-1226.
- Larivière V, Sugimoto CR (2019) The Journal Impact Factor: A Brief History, Critique, and Discussion of Adverse Effects. In: Glänzel W, Moed HF, Schmoch U, Thelwall M (eds) *Springer Handbook of Science and Technology Indicators*. Springer International Publishing

- Liddell D (2019) From the Editor: 60 Years of Scholarship. *Journal of College Student Development*, 60:641-644.
- Miranda R, Garcia-Carpintero E (2019) Comparison of the share of documents and citations from different quartile journals in 25 research areas. *Scientometrics* 121:479–501.
- Moksness L, Olsen SO (2019) Perceived quality and self-identity in scholarly publishing. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* XX:xxx-xxx.
- Mullen LB (2019) Scholarly communication and open access in psychology: current considerations for researchers.
- Seeber M, Cattaneo M, Meoli M, Malighetti P (2019) Self-citations as strategic response to the use of metrics for career decisions. *Research Policy* 48:478-491.
- Fu HZ, Ho YS (2018) Highly cited articles of corrosion research in science citation index Expanded. *Asian Journal of Chemistry* 30:703-710.
- Gingras Y, Khelifaoui M (2018) Assessing the effect of the United States' "citation advantage" on other countries' scientific impact as measured in the Web of Science (WoS) database. *Scientometrics* 114:517-532.
- Loomba RS, Anderson RH (2018) Are we allowing impact factor to have too much impact: The need to reassess the process of academic advancement in pediatric cardiology? *Congenital Heart Disease* 13:163-166.
- Mackinnon S, Drozdowska BA, Hamilton M, Noel-Storr AH, McShane R, Quinn T (2018) Are methodological quality and completeness of reporting associated with citation-based measures of publication impact? A secondary analysis of a systematic review of dementia biomarker studies. *BMJ Open* 8
- Marcella R, Lockerbie H, Bloice L, Hood C, Barton F (2018) The effects of the research excellence framework research impact agenda on early- and mid-career researchers in library and information science. *Journal of Information Science* 44:608-618.
- McAleer M, Oláh J, Popp J (2018) Pros and Cons of the Impact Factor in a Rapidly Changing Digital World. *Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper* 18:III.
- Müller V, de Boer RJ, Bonhoeffer S, Szathmáry E (2018) An evolutionary perspective on the systems of adaptive immunity. *Biological Reviews* 93:505-528.
- Nix T, Smith J, Song J (2018) Measuring Impact. In: Markovac J, Kleinman M, Englesbe M (eds) *Medical and Scientific Publishing: Author, Editor, and Reviewer Perspectives*. Academic Press, pp 215-233
- Paulus FM, Cruz N, Krach S (2018) The impact factor fallacy. *Frontiers in Psychology* 9
- Pierce GJ, Theodossiou I (2018) Open access publishing: a service or a detriment to science? *ESEP* 18:37-48.
- Siler K, Sugimoto CR, Larivière V (2018) Cumulative Advantage in Scientific Visibility: Citation Performance of Repeat Authors in Economics Journals. In: Costas R, Franssen T, Yegros-Yegros A (eds) *23rd International Conference on Science and Technology Indicators (STI 2018)*, Leiden, The Netherlands
- Stewart B, Cotton JL (2018) Does "Evaluating Journal Quality and the Association for Information Systems Senior Scholars Journal Basket..." Support the Basket with Bibliometric Measures? *Transactions in Replication Research* 4:1-41.
- Strielkowski W, Chigisheva O (2018) Research functionality and academic publishing : gaming with altmetrics in the digital age. *Economics & Sociology* 11:306-316.
- Sugimoto CR, Larivière V (2018) *Measuring research: what everyone needs to know*. Oxford University Press
- Thelwall M, Nevill T (2018) Could scientists use Altmetric.com scores to predict longer term citation counts? *J. Informetr.* 12:237-248.

- Tur-Viñes V, Segarra-Saavedra J, Hidalgo-Marí T (2018) Use of Twitter in Spanish Communication Journals. *Publications* 6:34.
- Wang J, Zhang L (2018) Proximal advantage in knowledge diffusion: The time dimension. *J. Informetr.* 12:858-867.
- Bornmann, L., and Leydesdorff, L. 2017. Skewness of citation impact data and covariates of citation distributions: A large-scale empirical analysis based on Web of Science data. *Journal of Informetrics* **11**(1): 164-175.
- Brunson, J.C., Wang, X., and Laubenbacher, R.C. 2017. Effects of research complexity and competition on the incidence and growth of coauthorship in biomedicine. *PLOS ONE* **12**(3): e0173444.
- Chen, L., Holsapple, C.W., Hsiao, S.H.S., Ke, Z., Oh, J.Y., and Yang, Z. 2017. Knowledge-dissemination channels: Analytics of stature evaluation. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **68**(4): 911-930.
- (120) Day, C. 2017. The state of open access. *Physics Today* **70**(5): 8.
- Echeverría, M., Stuart, D., and Córdón-García, J.A. 2017. The influence of online posting dates on the bibliometric indicators of scientific articles. *Revista Espanola de Documentacion Cientifica* **40**(3).
- Franceschini, F., and Maisano, D. 2017. Critical remarks on the Italian research assessment exercise VQR 2011–2014. *Journal of Informetrics* **11**(2): 337-357.
- Guan, J., Yan, Y., and Zhang, J.J. 2017. The impact of collaboration and knowledge networks on citations. *Journal of Informetrics* **11**(2): 407-422.
- Guntzburger, Y., Pauchant, T.C., and Tanguy, P.A. 2017. Ethical Risk Management Education in Engineering: A Systematic Review. *Science and Engineering Ethics* **23**(2): 323-350.
- Kolle, S.R., Vijayashree, M.S., and Shankarappa, T.H. 2017. Highly cited articles in malaria research: a bibliometric analysis. *Collection Building* **36**(2): 45-57.
- Kotchoubey, B. 2017. Evoked and event-related potentials in disorders of consciousness: A quantitative review. *Consciousness and Cognition* **54** 155-167
- Kumaran, M., and Cha, H.K. 2017. Knowledge of journal impact factors among nursing faculty: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of the Medical Library Association* **105**(2): 140-144.
- Maisonobe, M., Grossetti, M., Milard, B., Jégou, L., and Eckert, D. 2017. The global geography of scientific visibility: a deconcentration process (1999–2011). *Scientometrics* **113**:(1) 479-493.
- Marland, A. 2017. Journal publishing and marketing in an age of digital media, open access and impact factors. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* **50**(1): 77-95.
- (110) Mingliang, Y. and Tingcan, M. 2017. Is the relationship between the impact factor and papers' citations really weakening? C3 - ISSI 2017 - 16th International Conference on Scientometrics and Informetrics, Conference Proceedings. 561-567.
- Naudé, F. 2017. Comparing downloads, mendeley readership and google scholar citations as indicators of article performance. *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries* **78**(1).
- Nix, T., Smith, J., and Song, J. 2017. Measuring Impact. *Medical and Scientific Publishing: Author, Editor, and Reviewer Perspectives* 215-233.
- Patton, R., M., and Knochel, A.D. 2017. VAR Born Digital Editorial. *Visual Arts Research* **43**(1): 5-14.
- Qian, Y., Rong, W., Jiang, N., Tang, J., and Xiong, Z. 2017. Citation regression analysis of computer science publications in different ranking categories and subfields. *Scientometrics* **110**:(3) 1351-1374.

- Quan, W., Chen, B., and Shu, F. 2017. Publish or impoverish: An investigation of the monetary reward system of science in China (1999-2016). Aslib Journal of Information Management **69**(5) 486-502.
- Reider, B. 2017. Brace for Impact. The American Journal of Sports Medicine **45**(10): 2213-2216.
- Shu, F. 2017. Comment to: Does China need to rethink its metrics- and citation-based research rewards policies? Scientometrics **113**(2) 1229-1231.
- Staller, K.M. 2017. Accountability metrics, politics, and qualitative inquiry: Demystifying bibliometrics. Qualitative Social Work **16**(4): 437-445.
- Syamili, C. and Rekha, R. V. 2017. Do altmetric correlate with citation? : A study based on PLOS ONE journal. COLLNET Journal of Scientometrics and Informaiton management **11**:(1) 103-117.
- (100)** Tagiew, R. and Ignatov, D. I. 2017. Behavior mining in h-index ranking game C3 - CEUR Workshop Proceedings. **1968** 52-61.
- Thelwall, M. 2017. Are Mendeley reader counts high enough for research evaluations when articles are published? Aslib Journal of Information Management **69**(2): 174-183.
- Triggle, C.R., and Triggle, D.J. 2017. From Gutenberg to Open Science: an unfulfilled Odyssey. Drug Development and research **78**(1): 3-23.
- van den Besselaar, P., Heyman, U., and Sandström, U. 2017. Perverse effects of output-based research funding? Butler's Australian case revisited. Journal of Informetrics **11**:(3) 905-918.
- Walters, W. H. 2017. Citation-Based Journal Rankings: Key Questions, Metrics, and Data Sources. IEEE Access **5** 22036-22053.
- Baccini, A. 2016. Napoleon and the Bibliometric Evaluation of Research: Considerations on University Reform and the Action of the National Evaluation Agency in Italy. Canadian Journal of Information and Library Science, **40**: 37-57.
- Biljecki, F. 2016. A scientometric analysis of selected GIScience journals. International Journal of Geographical Information Science **30**(7): 1302-1335.
- de Marchi, M., and Lorenzetti, E. 2016. Measuring the impact of scholarly journals in the humanities field. Scientometrics **106**(1): 253-261.
- Garcia-Larrea, L. 2016. Twenty years after: Interesting times for scientific editors. European Journal of Pain, **20**: 3-4.
- Garcia-Larrea, L. 2016. Twenty years after: Interesting times for scientific editors. European Journal of Pain **20**(1): 3-4.
- Hahn, K.A., and Galea, S. 2016. The role of professional journals and societies in the future of a field: a reflection on the partnership between the American Journal of Epidemiology and the Society for Epidemiologic Research. American Journal of Epidemiology **183**(5): 367-371..
- (90)** Jørgensen, E.K. 2016. Typifying scientific output: a bibliometric analysis of archaeological publishing across the science/humanities spectrum (2009–2013). Danish Journal of Archaeology **4**(2): 125-139.
- Kolahi, J., and Khazaei, S. 2016. Altmetric: Top 50 dental articles in 2014. British Dental Journal **220**(11): 569-574.
- Koltay, T., Spiranec, S., and Karvalics, L.K. 2016. Research 2.0 and the Future of Information Literacy. Elsevier.
- Lemercier, C. 2016. For whom do we write ? Revue d'Histoire Moderne et Contemporaine, **62-64**: 43-61.
- Lewis, D.W. 2016. *Reimagining the Academic Library*: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

- Liu, X.-L., Gai, S.-S. and Zhou, J. 2016. Journal Impact Factor: Do the Numerator and Denominator Need Correction? *PLoS One*, **11**: e0151414.
- Marcella, R., Lockerbie, H., and Bloice, L. 2016. Beyond REF 2014: The impact of impact assessment on the future of information research. *Journal of Information Science* **42**(3): 369-385.
- Martin, B.R. 2016. Editors' JIF-boosting stratagems – Which are appropriate and which not? *Research. Policy* **45**(1): 1-7.
- Sanz-Casado, E., Garcia -Zorita, C., and Rosseau, R. 2016. Using h-cores to study the most-cited articles of the twenty-first century. *Scientometrics* **108**(1): 243-261.
- Shanahan, D.R. 2016. Auto-correlation of journal impact factor for consensus research reporting statements: a cohort study. *PeerJ*, **4**: e1887.
- (80)** Tracz, V. and Lawrence, R. 2016. Towards an open science publishing platform. *F1000Research*, **5**.
- Walters, W.H. 2016. Information sources and indicators for the assessment of journal reputation and impact. *The Reference Librarian*, **57**: 13-22.
- Waltman, L. 2016. A review of the literature on citation impact indicators. *J. Informetr.*, **10**: 365-391.
- Bailey, J.K., Mendis, K., Dutton, T., Stevens, W. and McCrossin, T. 2015. A decade of Rural Clinical School research: a PubMed review. . *Rural and Remote Health* **15**: 3353.
- Banister, D. 2015. Editorial. *Transport Reviews*, **35**: 675-678.
- Borgman, C.L. 2015. Big Data, Little Data, No Data: Scholarship in the Networked World. MIT Press.
- Bornmann, L. 2015. Interrater reliability and convergent validity of F1000Prime peer review. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **66**(12): 2415-2426..
- Cantor, M., and Gero, S. 2015. The missing metric: quantifying contributions of reviewers. *Royal Society Open Science* **2**: 140540.
- Casadevall, A., and Fang, F.C. 2015. Impacted science: impact is not importance. *mBio* **6**(5): e01593-01515.
- Dolara, A. 2015. Evaluating the merit of research in clinical cardiology: From citation to declaration. *Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine*, **16**: 525-526.
- (70)** Ezinwa Nwagwu, W. and Ojemeni, O. 2015. Penetration of nigerian predatory biomedical open access journals 2007-2012: A bibliometric study. *Learned Publishing*, **28**: 23-34.
- Fitzgerald, R.T., and Radmanesh, A. 2015. Social Media and Research Visibility. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* **36**: 1814-1815.
- Freeman, R. B., and W. Huang. 2015. Collaborating with people like me: ethnic coauthorship within the United States. *Journal of Labor Economics* **33**:S289 - S318.
- Geuna, A. and Rossi, F. 2015. *The university and the economy: Pathways to growth and economic development*.
- Ghazi Mirsaeid, S.J., Motamedi, N. and Ramezan Ghorbani, N. 2015. Correlation between Self-Citation and Impact Factor in Iranian English Medical Journals in WoS and ISC: A Comparative Approach. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, **44**: 1234-1243.
- Gureev, V.N., and Mazov, N.A. 2015. Assessment of the relevance of journals in research libraries using bibliometrics (a review). *Scientific and Technical Information Processing* **42**(1): 30-40.
- Guthrie, J., Parker, L.D., and Dumay, J. 2015. Academic performance, publishing and peer review: Peering into the twilight zone. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal* **28**(1): 2-13.
- Haustein, S., and Lariviere, V. 2015. The use of bibliometrics for assessing research: possibilities, limitations and adverse effects. *In Incentives and Performance: Governance*

- of Research Organizations. *Edited by* I. M. Welpé, J. Wollersheim, S. Ringelhan, and Osterloh. M. Springer International Publishing. pp. 121-139.
- Havemann, F. and Larsen, B. 2015. Bibliometric indicators of young authors in astrophysics: Can later stars be predicted? *Scientometrics*, **102**: 1413-1434.
- Huang, W. 2015. Do ABCs get more citations than XYZs? *Economic Inquiry* **53**(1): 773-789.
- (60) Jeppe, N. and Fraandsen, T.F. 2015. The focus factor: a dynamic measure of journal specialisation. *Information Research* **20**: 85-103.
- Kangas, A., and T. Hujala. 2015. Challenges in publishing: producing, assuring and communicating quality. *Silva Fennica* 49:article id 1304.
- Lopez Pineiro, C and Hicks, D. 2015. Reception of Spanish sociology by domestic and foreign audiences differs and has consequences for evaluation. *Res. Eval.*, **24**: 78-89.
- Mendis, K., Bailey, J., and McLean, R. 2015. Tracking Australian health and medical research expenditure with a PubMed bibliometric method. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* **39**: 227-231.
- Nicholas, D., Watkinson, A., Jamali, H.R., Herman, E., Tenopir, C., Volentine, R., Allard, S., and Levine, K. 2015. Peer review: still king in the digital age. *Learned Publishing* **28**(1): 15-21.
- Nicolaisen, J. and Frandsen, T.F. 2015. The Focus Factor: A Dynamic Measure of Journal Specialisation. *Information Research: An International Electronic Journal*, **20**.
- Nwagwu, W. E. and Onyanacha, B. 2015. Back to the beginning - The journal is dead, long live science. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship* **41**:(5) 669-679.
- Paci, M., Landi, N., Briganti, G. and Lombardi, B. 2015. Factors associated with citation rate of randomised controlled trials in physiotherapy. *Archives of Physiotherapy* **5**(1):9.
- Royle, P. and Waugh, N. 2015. Macular disease research in the United Kingdom 2011 - 2014: a bibliometric analysis of outputs, performance and coverage. *BMC Research Notes*, **8**: 833.
- Sanz-Casado, E., Garcia -Zorita, C. and Rosseau, R. The Most Cited Articles of the 21st Century. In: Salah, A. A., Tonta, Y., Akdag Salah, A. A., Sugimoto, C. and Al, U., editors; 2015 29 June to 3 July, 2015; Istanbul, Turkey,. Bogaziçi University Printhouse.
- (50) Stewart, B. 2015. Open to influence: what counts as academic influence in scholarly networked Twitter participation. *Learning, Media and Technology* **40**(3):1-23.
- Thelwall, M., and Fairclough, R. 2015. Geometric journal impact factors correcting for individual highly cited articles. *Journal of Informetrics* **9**(2): 263-272.
- Tourish, D. 2015. Some announcements, reaffirming the critical ethos of Leadership, and what we look for in submissions. *Leadership*, **11**: 135-141.
- Triggle, C. R. 2015. The answer is not 42. *Biochemical Pharmacology* **98**(2):327-334..
- Vrettas, G. and Sanderson, M. 2015. Conferences versus journals in computer science. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **66**:(12) 2674-2684.
- Wing, L., and Massoud, T.F. 2015. Trends in performance indicators of neuroimaging anatomy research publications: a bibliometric study of major neuroradiology journal output over four decades based on web of science database. *Clinical Anatomy* **28**(1): 16-26.
- Zhao, Y.W., Chi, C.-H., and van den Heuvel, W.-J. 2015. Imperfect referees: Reducing the impact of multiple biases in peer review. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **X** 66(11):2340-2356.
- Aebi, M., and Szpalski, M. 2014. The growth and increase of the number of journals focusing on Spine: a scientific blessing? *European Spine Journal* **23**(3): 491-492.
- Bartoli, A. and E. Medvet (2014). "Bibliometric evaluation of researchers in the internet age." *The Information Society: An International Journal* **30**(5): 349-354.

- Berger, J.M., and Baker, C.M. 2014. Bibliometrics: an overview. Journal of Pharmacy Science **4**(3): 81-92.
- (40)** Black, K.J. 2014. F1000Research: Tics welcomes you to 21st century biomedical publishing. F1000Research **3**.
- Casadevall, A., and Fang, F.C. 2014. Causes for the persistence of Impact Factor mania. mBio **5**(2):e00064-00014.
- Dowling, G.R. 2014. Playing the citations game: From publish or perish to be cited or sidelined. Australasian Marketing Journal **22**(4): 280-287
- Grossetti, M., Eckert, D., Gingras, Y., Jégou, L., Larivière, V., and Milard, B. 2014. Cities and the geographical deconcentration of scientific activity: A multilevel analysis of publications (1987 - 2007). Urban Studies **51**(10): 2219-2234.
- Hagen, N.T. 2014. Reversing the byline hierarchy: The effect of equalizing bias on the accreditation of primary, secondary and senior authors. Journal of Informetrics **8**(3): 618-627.
- Heneberg, P. 2014. Parallel worlds of citable documents and others: Inflated commissioned opinion articles enhance scientometric indicators. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology **65**:635-643.
- Knudson, D. 2014. What is a kinesiology journal? Comprehensive Psychology **3**(1): Article 20.
- Kraus, J. 2014. Cash, carrots, and sticks: Open Access incentives for researchers. F1000Research **3**: 263 (doi:).
- Larivière, V., Lozano, G.A., and Gingras, Y. 2014. Are elite journals declining? Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology **65**(4): 649-655.
- Manganote, E.J.T., Araujo, M.S., and Schulz, P.A. 2014. Visualization of ranking data: Geographical signatures in international collaboration, leadership and research impact. Journal of Informetrics **8**(3): 642-649.
- (30)** Rao, I.K.R. 2014. Weak relations among the impact factors, number of citations, references and authors. Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management **8**(1): 17-30.
- Smith, M. J., C. Weinberger, et al. (2014). "The scientific impact of nations: journal placement and citation performance." PLoS One **9**(10): e109195.
- Stern, D.I. 2014. High-Ranked social science journal articles can be identified from early citation information. PLoS One **9**(11): e112520.
- Banfi, A. and G. De Nicolao (2013). "Evaluate without knowing. How to save the evaluation of research in Italy for those who want to use it without knowing." Aut Aut **360**: 43-68.
- Bawden, D. 2013. The declining impact of the impact factor. Journal of Documentation **69**(2).
- Bernal, I. 2013. Open access and the changing landscape of research impact indicators: new roles for repositories. Publications **1**(2): 56-77.
- Beyersdorf, F. 2013. Impact Factor of the European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery increased to 2.674. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery **44**(4): 589-590.
- Bongiovani, P.C., Miguel, S., and Gómez, N.D. 2013. Acceso abierto, impacto científico y la producción científica en dos universidades argentinas en el campo de la medicina. Revista Cubana de Informacion en Ciencias de la Salud **24**(2): article no. 3.
- Bornmann, L., and Williams, R. 2013. How to calculate the practical significance of citation impact differences? An empirical example from evaluative institutional bibliometrics using adjusted predictions and marginal effects. Journal of Infometrics **7**(2): 562-574.
- Bradley, C. 2013. Information literacy articles in science pedagogy journals. Evidence Based Library and Information Practice **8**(4): 78-92.
- (20)** Brembs, B. 2013. What ranking journals has in common with astrology. Roars Transactions, a Journal on Research Policy and Evaluation **1**(1).
- Brembs, B., Button, K., and Munafò, M. 2013. Deep impact: unintended consequences of journal rank. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience **7**: 291.

- Chen, L., and Holsapple, C.W. 2013. Evaluating journal quality: beyond "expert" journal assessments in the IS discipline. Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce **23**(4): 392-412.
- Cronin, B. 2013. From signtometrics to scientometrics: a cautionary tale of our times. Journal of Information Science Theory and Practice **1**(4): 6-11.
- Dalton, M. 2013. A dissemination divide? The factors that influence the journal selection decision of Library & Information Studies (LIS) researchers and practitioners. Library and Information Research **37**: art no. 115.
- Ferrero, F. 2013. Does size matter? considerations on the use of the impact factor. Archivos Argentinos de Pediatría **111**(1): 5-8.
- Hausmann, N.S., McIntyre, T., Bumby, A.J., and Loubser, M.J. 2013. Referencing practices in physical geography: How well do we cite what we write? Progress in Physical Geography **37** (4): 543-549.
- Leydesdorff, L., Radicchi, F., Bornmann, L., Castellano, C., and de Nooy, W. 2013. Field-normalized Impact Factors: A comparison of rescaling versus fractionally counted IFs. Journal of the American Society for Information Science **64**(11): 2299-2309.
- Marušić, A., and Marušić, M. 2013. Promotion of journals, especially small scholarly journals. *In* EASE Science Editor's Handbook. *Edited by* P. Smart, H. Maisonneuve, and A. Polderman. European Association of Science Editors. pp. 1-4.
- Odell, J., and Whipple, E.C. 2013. The changing landscape of scholarly publishing: will radiation research survive? Radiation Research **180**(4): 335-339.
- (10)** Oermann, M.H., and Shaw-Kokot, J. 2013. Impact Factors of nursing journals: what nurses need to know. Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing **44**(7): 293-299.
- Protić, A., Runje, B., and Stepanić, J. 2013. Distribution of citations in one volume of a journal. Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems **11**(2): 227-237.
- Reich, E.S. 2013. Science publishing: the golden club. Nature **502**(7471): 291-293.
- Reips, U.-D., and Matzar, U. 2013. Article impact means journal impact. International Journal of Internet Science **8**(1): 1-9.
- Stern, D.I. 2013. Uncertainty measures for economics journal impact factors. Journal of Economic Literature **51**(1): 173-189.
- Sugimoto, C. R., V. Larivière, et al. (2013). Supply and demand in scholarly publishing: An analysis of factors associated with journal acceptance rates (rip) 14th International Society of Scientometrics and Informetrics Conference, Vienna, Austria.
- Sugimoto, C.R., Larivière, V., Ni, C., and Cronin, B. 2013. Journal acceptance rates: A cross-disciplinary analysis of variability and relationships with journal measures. Journal of Infometrics **7**(4): 897-906.
- Thelwall, M., Haustein, S., Larivière, V., and Sugimoto, C.R. 2013. Do altmetrics work? Twitter and ten other social web services. PLoS One **8**(5): e64841.
- Valencia, A. 2013. La mitología del artículo científico. Ingeniería y Sociedad **6**: 20-42.
- López-Cózar, E.D., and Robinson-García, N. 2012. Repositories in Google Scholar Metrics or what is this document type doing in a place as such? Cybermetrics **16**(1): Paper 4.

Lozano, G.A., Lank, D.B., and Addison, B. 2013. Immune and oxidative stress trade-offs in four classes of ruffs (*Philomachus pugnax*) with different alternative reproductive strategies. Canadian Journal of Zoology 91(4): 212-218.

Escallón, C., L. K. Belden, and I. T. Moore. 2019. The Cloacal Microbiome Changes with the Breeding Season in a Wild Bird. *Integrative Organismal Biology* 1.

Boyd, R. J., T. R. Kelly, S. A. MacDougall-Shackleton, and E. A. MacDougall-Shackleton. 2018. Alternative reproductive strategies in white-throated sparrows are associated with differences in parasite load following experimental infection. *Biology Letters* 14.

Costantini, D. 2018. Meta-analysis reveals that reproductive strategies are associated with sexual differences in oxidative balance across vertebrates. *Current Zoology* 64:1-11.

Evans, J. K., Buchanan, K. L., Griffith, S. C., Klasing, K. C., and Addison, B. 2017. Ecoimmunology and microbial ecology: Contributions to avian behavior, physiology, and life history. *Hormones and Behavior* 88:(Supplement C) 112-121.

Costantini, D. 2014. The role of oxidative stress and moremesis in shaping reproductive strategies. Pp. 205-239 in Costantini, D., ed. *Oxidative Stress and Hormesis in Evolutionary Ecology and Physiology: a marriage between mechanistic and evolutionary approaches*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Lozano, G. A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science 105(4): 443-445.

Jones, A. W. 2021. Scientometric evaluation of highly cited scientists in the field of forensic science and legal medicine. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*.

Le Nguyen C, Parry S, Hayden M (2021) The state of discipline-based research cultures at two leading research-oriented universities in Vietnam. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*: 1-14. DOI: 10.1080/02188791.2021.1911785

Sasvari, P., B. Teleki, and A. Urbanovics. 2021. The Opportunities of the Performance-based Publication Model in Hungary. *Public Finance Quarterly* 2021:112-133.

Yun, B., J. Y. Lee, and S. Ahn. 2020. The Intellectual Structure of Women's Studies: A Bibliometric Study of its Research Topics and Influential Publications. *Asian Women* 36:1-23.

Kozłowski, L. P. 2019. *fCite*: a fractional citation tool to quantify an individual's scientific research output. *bioRxiv* XX:771485.

Patience, G. S., F. Galli, P. A. Patience, and D. C. Boffito. 2019. Intellectual contributions meriting authorship: Survey results from the top cited authors across all science categories. *PLoS One* 14:e0198117.

(10) Perdigo, J. 2019. What is authorship? *Journal of Adhesive Dentistry* 21:103-104.

Taubert, N. 2019. *Fremde Galaxien und abstrakte Welten : Open Access in Astronomie und Mathematik. Soziologische Perspektiven*. transcript Verlag.

- Berker, Y. 2018. Golden-ratio as a substitute to geometric and harmonic counting to determine multi-author publication credit. *Scientometrics* **114**:(3) 839-857.
- Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, P., A. Alexander, K. Papageorgiou, and U. Daellenbach. 2018. The Promiscuity of Publishing Partners in Innovation Management Research The formation and impact of Publishing Collaborations. Pages 1-13 *in* Proceedings of the International Society for Professional Innovation Management (ISPIM) XXIX ISPIM Conference. Lappeenranta University of Technology, Sweden.
- Kerr, R. L., C. de Vreese, R. A. Logan, L. Steiner, G. S. Pearson, and C. A. Pierson. 2018. Authorship transparency in an era of accountability. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* **95**:871-885.
- Risnes, S. 2018. Need for a change in scientific publishing. *Nordic Perspectives on Open Science* **1**:13-29.
- Bozeman, B. and Youtie, J. 2017. *The strength in numbers: the new science of team science*. Princeton University Press.
- Kobsda, C., M. Schmidt, and B. Fecher. 2017. Authorship revised. Making a compromise between 2.1 and 1.268. *Elephant in the lab*.
- López Rull, I., L. Nicolás, N. Neri-Vera, V. Argáez, M. Martínez, and R. Torres. 2016. Assortative mating by multiple skin color traits in a seabird with cryptic sexual dichromatism. *Journal of Ornithology* **157**:1049-1062.
- Dehdarirad, T., Villarroya, A. and Barrios, M. 2015. Research on women in science and higher education: a bibliometric analysis. *Scientometrics* **103**(3):795-812.
- Lozano, G.A. 2014. Ethics of using language editing services in an era of digital communication and heavily multi-authored papers. Science and Engineering Ethics **20**(2): 363-367.**
- De Oliveira, B. 2020. The ethics of writing services for graduate students. *Teaching Ethics* **19**:245-254.
- Millar, N., B. Budgell, and F. Salager-Meyer. 2020. Hype in reports of clinical research: The authors' perspectives. *English for Specific Purposes* **60**:53-64.
- Sethy, S. S. 2020. Responsible conduct of research and ethical publishing practices: a proposal to resolve 'authorship disputes' over multi-author paper publication. *Journal of Academic Ethics* **18**:283-300.
- Patience, G. S., F. Galli, P. A. Patience, and D. C. Boffito. 2019. Intellectual contributions meriting authorship: Survey results from the top cited authors across all science categories. *PLoS One* **14**:e0198117.
- Von Bergen, C., and M. Bressler. 2019. Santa Claus comes to Higher Ed: balancing the costs and benefits of gift authorship. *Journal of Business Cases and Applications* **23**:1-20.
- Dyrud, M. 2018. Revising manuscripts for publication. *Technology Interphase International Journal* **19**:69-78.
- Faulkes, Z. 2018. Resolving authorship disputes by mediation and arbitration. *Research Integrity and Peer Review* **3**:12.

- (10) Hilário, C. M., D. Martínez-Ávila, M. C. C. Grácio, and D. Wolfram. 2018. Authorship in science: A critical analysis from a Foucauldian perspective. *Research Evaluation* **27**:63-72.
- Kate, V., S. Sureshkumar, and A. Kavirayani. 2018. Preparing manuscript from thesis material and selecting journals for submission. Pages XVIII, 317 in S. Parija and V. Kate, editors. *Thesis Writing for Master's and Ph.D. Program*. Springer Singapore.
- Kim, E. Y. J., and A. S. LaBianca. 2018. Ethics in academic writing help for international students in higher education: perceptions of faculty and students. *Journal of Academic Ethics* **16**:39-59.
- Risnes, S. 2018. Need for a change in scientific publishing. *Nordic Perspectives on Open Science* **1**:13-29.
- Burada, M. 2017. Joint Authorship: a glimpse into some local practices of merit attribution. Pages 198-216 in M. Burada, T. O., and R. Sinu, editors. *13th Conference on British and American Studies: language Diversity in a Globalized World*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Von Bergen, C. W., and M. S. Bressler. 2017. Academe's unspoken ethical dilemma: author inflation in higher education. *Research in Higher Education Journal* **32**:1-32.
- Austin, W.W. (Ed.) (2016) *How does the rise of the "Kilo-author" affect the field of composition and rhetoric*. The CCCC-IP Annual: top intellectual property developments of 2015
- Glew, R.H., Challa, A.K., and Gopalan, V. 2014. Training in scientific manuscript writing. *Current Science* **107**(9): 1386-1392.
- Petersen, A.M., Pavlidis, I., and Semendeferi, I. 2014. A quantitative perspective on ethics in large team science. *Science and Engineering Ethics* **20**(4): 923-945.
- Lozano, G.A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. *Current Science* **105**(4): 443-445.
- Larivière, V., Lozano, G.A., and Gingras, Y. 2014. Are elite journals declining? Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology **65**(4): 649-655.**
- MacLean, O. A., S. Lytras, S. Weaver, J. B. Singer, M. F. Boni, P. Lemey, S. L. Kosakovsky Pond, and D. L. Robertson. 2021. Natural selection in the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in bats created a generalist virus and highly capable human pathogen. *PLoS Biology* **19**:e3001115.
- Miranda, R., and E. García. 2021. Bibliometric Analysis of the World Scientific Production in Chemical Engineering During 2000-2011. Part 2: Analysis of the 1,000 Most Cited Publications. *TBA* **XX**:xxx-xxx.
- (30) Cao, H., M. Cheng, Z. Cen, D. McFarland, and X. Ren. 2020. Will This Idea Spread Beyond Academia? Understanding Knowledge Transfer of Scientific Concepts across Text Corpora. *in Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*.

- Chapman, K., and E. Ellinger Alexander. 2019. An evaluation of Web of Science, Scopus and Google Scholar citations in operations management. *International Journal of Logistics Management* **30**:1039-1053.
- Kim, L., J. H. Portenoy, J. D. West, and K. W. Stovel. 2019. Scientific journals still matter in the era of academic search engines and preprint archives. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **71**:1218-1226.
- Mullen, L. B. 2019. Scholarly communication and open access in psychology: current considerations for researchers.
- Babovic, M. 2018. Gender and economic inequalities: Trends in feminist economics and sociology at the centre and semi-periphery of the global knowledge production system. *Sociologija* **60**:11-34.
- Sugimoto, C. R. and Larivière, V. 2018. *Measuring research: what everyone needs to know*. Oxford University Press.
- Hammarfelt, B., and Rushforth, A.D. 2017. Indicators as judgment devices: An empirical study of citizen bibliometrics in research evaluation. *Research Evaluation* **26**(3): 169-180.
- Selmer, J., and McNulty, Y. 2017. Publishing research on expatriates: advice for Ph.D. candidates and early career researchers. *In* *Research Handbook of Expatriates*. Edited by E Elgar.
- Walters, W. H. 2017. Citation-Based Journal Rankings: Key Questions, Metrics, and Data Sources. *IEEE Access* **5**:22036-22053.
- Antunez, M.Y., and Henry, M. 2016. A comparative review of gerontological nursing citation data. *Health Information and Libraries Journal* **33**(4): 257-268.
- (20)** Ellinger, A.E., and Chapman, K. 2016. IJPDLM's 45th anniversary: a retrospective bibliometric analysis and future directions. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* **46**(1): 2-18.
- Fernandez-Rios, M. A. S. R. L. 2016. The rate of impact and the future of academic journals. The risk of pathologization/El factor de impacto y el futuro de las revistas academicas. el riesgo de patologizacion. *Innovación Educativa* **16**:34+.
- Hopper, T. 2016. Accounting scholarship and management by numbers. *Revista Contabilidade & Finanças* **27**(71): 144-148.
- Jørgensen, E.K. 2016. Typifying scientific output: a bibliometric analysis of archaeological publishing across the science/humanities spectrum (2009–2013). *Danish Journal of Archaeology* **4**(2): 125-139.
- Lewis, D.W. 2016. *Reimagining the Academic Library*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Sanz-Casado, E., Garcia -Zorita, C., and Rosseau, R. 2016. Using h-cores to study the most-cited articles of the twenty-first century. *Scientometrics* **108**(1): 243-261.
- Yan, S., Rousseau, R., and Huang, S. 2016. Contributions of chinese authors in PLOS ONE. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **67**(3): 543-549.

- Casadevall, A., and Fang, F.C. 2015. Impacted science: impact is not importance. mBio **6**(5): e01593-01515.
- Chandrashekar, Y. and Narula, J. 2015. Challenges for Research Publications What Is Journal Quality and How to Measure It? Journal of the American College of Cardiology **65**(16):1702-1705.
- Guthrie, J., Parker, L.D., and Dumay, J. 2015. Academic performance, publishing and peer review: Peering into the twilight zone. Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal **28**(1): 2-13.
- (10) Holmberg, K. 2015. *Altmetrics for information professionals: past, present and future*: Chandos Publishing, Elsevier.
- Lopez Pineiro, C, and Hicks, D. 2014. Reception of Spanish sociology by domestic and foreign audiences differs and has consequences for evaluation. Research Evaluation **24**(1): 78-89.
- Sanz-Casado, E., Garcia -Zorita, C. and Rosseau, R. The Most Cited Articles of the 21st Century. In: Salah, A. A., Tonta, Y., Akdag Salah, A. A., Sugimoto, C. and Al, U., editors; 2015 29 June to 3 July, 2015; Istanbul, Turkey,. Bogaziçi University Printhouse.
- Sinha, A., Shen, Z., Song, Y., Ma, H., Eide, D., Hsu, B.-J. and Wang, K. 2015. An Overview of Microsoft Academic Service (MAS) and Applications. Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web. Florence, Italy: International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
- Grossetti, M., Eckert, D., Gingras, Y., Jégou, L., Larivière, V., and Milard, B. 2014. Cities and the geographical deconcentration of scientific activity: A multilevel analysis of publications (1987 - 2007). Urban Studies **51**(10): 2219-2234.
- Knudson, D. 2014. What is a kinesiology journal? Comprehensive Psychology **3**(1): Article 20.
- Packer, A. L. 2014. The emergence of journals of Brazil and scenarios for their future. Educação e Pesquisa **40**:301-323.
- Chen, L., and Holsapple, C.W. 2013. Evaluating journal quality: beyond "expert" journal assessments in the IS discipline. Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce **23**(4): 392-412.
- Lozano, G.A. 2013. The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. Current Science **105**(4): 443-445.
- Reich, E.S. 2013. Science publishing: the golden club. Nature **502**(7471): 291-293.
- Lozano, G.A. 2014. Specificity in parasites with multiple hosts: the view from the hosts' perspective. Ideas in Ecology and Evolution **7**(2): 25-26.**

Forbes, M.R., and Mlynarek, J.J. 2014. A hypothesis to explain host species differences in resistance to multi-host parasites. Ideas in Ecology and Evolution 7(1): 17-24.

Lozano, G.A. 2015. SAD effects on grantsmanship. BioEssays 37(1): 10-11.

No citations yet!

Lozano, G. A. 2017. Committed to the insurance hypothesis of obesity. Behavioral and Brain Sciences 40: e121.

No citations yet!